

ON INFINITESIMAL CHEREDNIK ALGEBRAS OF $\mathfrak{gl}_2$

AKAKI TIKARADZE

ABSTRACT. We prove that the center of an infinitesimal Cherednik algebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_2$ is the polynomial algebra of two variables over the field of characteristic 0. In positive characteristic we show that any infinitesimal Cherednik algebra is a finitely generated module over its center.

1. INTRODUCTION

For a given reductive algebraic group G (over a field k) and its finite dimensional representation V , Etingof, Gan, and Ginzburg ([EGG]) introduced a new family of algebras called continuous Hecke algebras and infinitesimal Hecke algebras as certain deformations of the semi-direct product algebras $O(G)^* \ltimes TV$ and $\mathfrak{U}\mathfrak{g} \ltimes TV$ respectively. Here $O(G)^*$ is the dual of the ring of regular functions on G , where multiplication in $O(G)^*$ is defined by the convolution and TV is the tensor algebra of V . If G is a finite group, then these algebras are Drinfeld's degenerate affine Hecke algebras which include widely studied rational Cherednik algebras [EG]. Let us recall the precise definition of infinitesimal Hecke algebras [EGG].

Let V be a finite dimensional module over a reductive Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and let $\gamma : V \otimes V \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}\mathfrak{g}$ be a $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant skew-symmetric pairing. Then one considers the algebra H_γ defined as the quotient of $\mathfrak{U}\mathfrak{g} \ltimes TV$ by the relations

$$[v, w] = \gamma(v, w), v, w \in V.$$

There is a natural algebra filtration on H_γ defined by setting $\deg(\alpha) = 0, \deg(v) = 1$ for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{U}\mathfrak{g}, v \in V$. It is clear there is a natural map $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g} \ltimes V) \rightarrow gr(H_\gamma)$ which is a surjective graded algebra homomorphism. If this map is an isomorphism then H_γ is said to be an infinitesimal Hecke algebra. There is a special class of infinitesimal Hecke algebras called infinitesimal Cherednik algebras, where one takes $V = k^n \oplus (k^n)^*$ and $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_n$ with the natural action on V and it is required that $\gamma(v, w) = 0$ if $v, w \in k^n$, or $v, w \in (k^n)^*$.

In this paper, we prove that for the case $n = 2$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_2$, the center of H_γ is isomorphic to the polynomial algebra in two variables and $gr(\mathfrak{Z}(H_\gamma)) = \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_2 \ltimes V))$ (for arbitrary algebra B , we will denote its center by $\mathfrak{Z}(B)$). We have proved a similar result for infinitesimal Hecke algebras of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ and $V = k^2$ in 0 and sufficiently large characteristic ([KT], [T1], [T2]). We also establish that if the ground field has positive characteristic, then for any Cherednik algebra H_γ (for arbitrary $\mathfrak{g}$ and n), its center is big, meaning that H_γ is a finitely generated module over its center.

2. THE CENTER

From now on, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_2$, $n = 2$ and $\text{char}(k)=0$. Let us now write a more explicit description of the algebra H_γ . We have the basis $k^2 = kx \oplus ky$ and $(k^2)^* = kx_1 \oplus ky_1$ of k^2 and $(k^2)^*$ respectively, and $\mathfrak{gl}_2 = \mathfrak{sl}_2 \oplus k\tau$, where τ is the (central) identity matrix. Here we adopt the convention that e, f, h denote the standard basis elements of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ and

$$[e, x_1] = [e, x] = 0, [f, x] = y, [f, x_1] = y_1, [\tau, x] = x, [\tau, x_1] = y_1.$$

In particular, x, x_1 have weight 1 (with respect to $\text{ad}(h)$ action) and k^2 has weight 1 with respect to τ , and $(k^2)^*$ has weight -1.

It is shown in [EGG] that there exists an element $c \in \mathfrak{Z}\mathfrak{U}\mathfrak{g}$ such that $y_1x - x_1y - c$ belongs to the center of H_γ . Thus, element c completely determines γ , so for this reason we are going to denote H_γ by H_c . Therefore, H_c is a quotient of $\mathfrak{U}\mathfrak{g} \ltimes T(V)$ by the relations

$$[x, y] = 0 = [x_1, y_1], [y_1x - x_1y, x] = [c, x]$$

and we assume that $\text{gr}(H_c) = \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g} \ltimes V)$ (thus H_c is an infinitesimal Cherednik algebra).

Remark that H_c possesses an anti-involution $\eta : H_c \rightarrow H_c$ defined as follows [KT].

$$\eta(x) = y_1, \eta(x_1) = -y, \eta(e) = f, \eta(h) = h, \eta(\tau) = \tau.$$

The following is the main result of the paper.

Theorem 2.1. *The center of H_c is isomorphic to the polynomial algebra in two variables, generated by the elements whose leading term with respect to the filtration are $b = y_1x - x_1y$ and $d = \tau(y_1x - x_1y) - (2ey_1y + h(y_1x + x_1y) - 2fx_1x)$, and $\text{gr}(\mathfrak{Z}(H_c)) = \mathfrak{Z}(\text{gr}(H_c))$.*

We begin the proof by showing that b, d freely generate the center of the associated graded algebra of H_c , which is just $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g} \ltimes V)$ (which from now on will be denoted by H).

For a reductive lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and its finite dimensional representation V , the center of the enveloping algebra $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g} \ltimes V)$ has been studied (see [R1], [R2], [P], [S]). But we wrote this proof before finding those references and we include it for the sake of completeness. After this it will just remain to show that we may lift d to a central element of H_c , since by the definition of H_c , $b - c$ is a central element.

Towards computing the center of H , our first step is to show that

$$\mathfrak{Z}(H) \cap \text{Sym}(V) = k[b].$$

Indeed, if we have a central element $\alpha = \sum a_{ij_1j_1} x^i y^j x_1^{i_1} y_1^{j_1}$ (with all $a_{ij_1j_1} \in k$), then from $[\tau, \alpha] = 0$ (since H is graded, we assume without loss of generality that α is homogeneous in x, y, x_1, y_1) we get that $i + j = i_1 + j_1$.

Also, since $[h, \alpha] = 0$ one gets $i + i_1 = j + j_1$, so that α may be written as: $\alpha = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i (xy_1)^i (x_1y)^{n-i}$ (for some n , with a_i constants). We have

$$[e, \alpha] = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i (i(x_1x)(xy_1)^{i-1}(x_1y)^{n-i} + (n-i)(x_1x)(xy_1)^i(x_1y)^{n-i-1}) = 0,$$

and cancelling xx_1 yields

$$\sum_{i=0}^n (a_i(xy_1)^{i-1}(x_1y)^{n-i}i + a_i(n-i)(xy_1)^i(x_1y)^{n-i-1}) = 0.$$

But this means that $(i+1)a_{i+1} = -(n-i)a_{n-i} \forall i$, whence there is (at most) a unique central α for each n up to multiplication. Thus we must have $\alpha = cb^n$, for some constant c . This proves our first claim.

In what follows we will use two subalgebras A_1, A_2 of H , where $A_1 = \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2 \ltimes (kx \oplus ky))$, and $A_2 = \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2 \ltimes (kx_1 \oplus ky_1))$. Clearly both of this algebras are isomorphic to $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2 \ltimes k^2)$ (which will be denoted by A). Here we will use the following anti-involution of A (defined in [K]) and the proposition proved in [KT]

$$j(x) = y, j(h) = h, j(e) = -f.$$

Proposition 2.1. *Stabilizer of k^2 in A as an algebra is generated by t, x, y where $t = ey^2 + hxy - fx^2$ is a generating central element of A . Stabilizer of $\mathfrak{g}$ in A as an algebra is generated by Δ, t .*

Genereting central elements of A_1, A_2 will be denoted by t_1, t_2 thus $t_1 = ey^2 + hxy - fx^2, t_2 = ey_1^2 + hx_1y_1 - fx_1^2$.

Next we show that $\mathfrak{Z}(H) \cap \mathfrak{sl}_2 \cdot \mathfrak{U}\mathfrak{sl}_2 \cdot \text{Sym}(V) = 0$.

Let $g = \sum a_{ij i_1 j_1} x^i y^j x_1^{i_1} y_1^{j_1}$ be an element from this intersection, with all $a_{ij i_1 j_1} \in \mathfrak{sl}_2 \cdot \mathfrak{U}\mathfrak{sl}_2$. As before, assuming homogeneity of g and using $[\tau, g] = 0$, g may be rewritten as $\sum_{i,j} a_{ij} x^i y^{n-i} x_1^j y_1^{n-j}$. Since $[g, x] = [g, y] = 0$, we get $[\sum_i a_{ij} x^i y^{n-i}, x] = [\sum_i a_{ij} x^i y^{n-i}, y] = 0$, for each j . Now the above Proposition implies that $\sum_i a_{ij} x^i y^{n-i} \in k[t_1, x, y]$, for each j . Similarly $\sum_j a_{ij} x_1^j y_1^{n-j} \in k[t_2, x_1, y_1]$ for each i . Now we have

$$g = \sum_j \left(\sum_i \alpha_{ij} x^i y^{n-i} \right) x_1^j y_1^{n-j} = \sum_i \left(\sum_j \alpha_{ij} x_1^j y_1^{n-j} \right) x^i y^{n-i}.$$

If t_1, t_2 do not appear in this expression, then $g \in k[x, y, x_1, y_1]$ and we are done. Otherwise, comparing terms with highest powers of t_1, t_2 appearing in both sides of equality we get $t_1^n g_1 = t_2^n g_2$, for some $g_1, g_2 \in k[x, y, x_1, y_1]$. Thus, $(ey^2 + hxy - fx^2)^n g_1 = (ey_1^2 + hx_1y_1 - fx_1^2)^n g_2$. Comparing coefficients in front of e^n, f^n , one gets $y^{2n} g_1 = y_1^{2n} g_2, x^{2n} g_1 = x_1^{2n} g_2$, which can not happen.

Now let g be an arbitrary central element, so $g = \sum_{n=0}^m \tau^n g_n$, where $g_m \neq 0, g_n \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2 \ltimes V)$. We will argue by induction on n (degree of g in τ)

that $g \in k[b, d]$. So far we have shown this for $n = 0$. We may assume that elements g_i are homogeneous in x, x_1, y, y_1 . It is clear that g_n being the top coefficient of g must be central, therefore we may assume that $g_n = b^m$ for some m . If $n \leq m$, then $g - d^n b^{m-n}$ is central and has degree $< n$ in τ , thus $g - d^n b^{m-n} \in k[b, d]$, which gives $g \in k[d, b]$. If $n > m$, then $d^n - b^{n-m}g$ is a central element of degree $< n$ in τ , so $b^{n-m}g \in k[b, d]$. The latter clearly implies that $g \in k[d, b]$.

In what follows we make an extensive use of computations of commutators of the form $[\alpha, x], [\beta, y]$ where $\alpha \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{Ug})$ ([T1]). Given that $[\alpha, x]$ is an element of $\mathfrak{Ug}x \oplus \mathfrak{Ug}y$ and commutes with e and has a weight 1 (with respect to $\text{ad}(h)$ action), it is clear that we must have

$$[\alpha, x] = (2hF(\alpha) + G(\alpha))x + 4eF(\alpha)y$$

$$[\alpha, y] = (-2hF(\alpha) + G(\alpha))y + 4fF(\alpha)x,$$

Where F, G are certain linear endomorphism of $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{Ug})$. We would like to establish there properties. First, let us recall the following computation from [T1]

Lemma 2.1. *We have the following identities for arbitrary $\beta \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{Ug})$*

$$F(\Delta\beta) = \beta + (\Delta - 1)F(\beta) - G(\beta)$$

$$G(\Delta\beta) = -3\beta - 4F(\beta)\Delta + (\Delta + 3)G(\beta)$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} [\Delta\beta, x] &= \beta((2h - 3)x + 4ey) + (2hF(\beta) + G(\beta))x\Delta + 4fF(\beta)y\Delta \\ &= (2h(\beta + (\Delta - 1)F(\beta) - G(\beta)) + -3\beta - 4F(\beta)\Delta \\ &\quad + (\Delta + 3)G(\beta))x + 4f(\beta + (\Delta - 1)F(\beta) - G(\beta))y. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we are done. $\square$

For any $\psi(\tau) \in k[\tau]$, we have the following commutator formulas

$$[\psi(\tau), x] = \psi'(\tau)x, [\psi(\tau), y] = \psi'(\tau)y,$$

where $\psi'(\tau)$ denotes $\psi(\tau) - \psi(\tau - 1)$.

Linear endomorphisms F, G are related to each other in the following way.

Lemma 2.2. *For any $\alpha \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{Ug})$ there exist an element $\beta \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{Ug})$ such that $F(\beta) = \alpha$, also $F(\alpha) = 0$ if and only if $\alpha \in k[\tau]$ and the following equality holds*

$$G(F(\alpha)) = F(G(\alpha)) + 2F(F(\alpha)).$$

Proof. At first, we will prove the lemma when $\alpha \in k[\Delta]$. In this case we have that $[\alpha, x], [\alpha, y] \in A$ and $[\alpha, x] = (2hF(\alpha) + G(\alpha))x + 4eF(\alpha)y$, after

applying the anti-involution j to $[\alpha, x]$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha, y] &= -y(2hF(\alpha) + G(\alpha)) + x4fF(\alpha) \\ &= 4fF(\alpha)x + (-2hF(\alpha) - G(\alpha))y - [4fF(\alpha), x] + \\ &\quad [2fF(\alpha) + G(\alpha), y] \\ &= 4fF(\alpha)x + (-2hF(\alpha) + G(\alpha))y. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $2G(\alpha)y = [2hF(\alpha) + G(\alpha), y] - [4fF(\alpha), x]$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} [4fF(\alpha), x] &= 4F(\alpha)y + 4f[F(\alpha), x] - 4[F(\alpha), y] \\ [2hF(\alpha) + G(\alpha), y] &= [G(\alpha), y] - 2F(\alpha)y + (2h + 2)[F(\alpha), y]. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$2G(\alpha)y = [G(\alpha), y] - 6F(\alpha)y + 2h[F(\alpha), y] + 6[F(\alpha), y] - 4f[F(\alpha), x].$$

Equating similar terms we get,

$$4fF(G(\alpha)) + 2h4fF(F(\alpha)) + 6 \times 4fF(F(\alpha)) - 4f(2hF(F(\alpha)) + G(F(\alpha))) = 0,$$

so $G(F(\alpha)) = F(G(\alpha)) + 2F(F(\alpha))$. It is easy to check that $F(\Delta^n)$ is a polynomial of degree n in Δ , thus F is surjective when restricted to $k[\Delta]$. Now let us consider $\alpha = \psi(\tau)\beta$, where ψ is a polynomial in τ and $\beta \in k[\Delta]$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} [\psi(\tau)\beta, x] &= \psi(\tau)[\beta, x] + \psi'(\tau)x\beta \\ &= (\psi(\tau) - \psi'(\tau))[\beta, x] + \psi'(\tau)\beta x. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} F(\psi(\tau)\beta) &= \psi(\tau - 1)F(\beta), \\ G(\psi(\tau)\beta) &= \psi(\tau - 1)G(\beta) + \psi'(\tau)\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(F(\psi(\tau)\beta)) &= G(\psi(\tau - 1)F(\beta)) = \\ &\quad \psi(\tau - 2)G(F(\beta)) + \psi(\tau - 1)'F(\beta), \\ F(G(\psi(\tau)\beta)) &= F(\psi(\tau - 1)G(\beta) + \psi'(\tau)\beta) = \\ &\quad \psi(\tau - 2)F(G(\beta)) + \psi'(\tau - 1)F(\beta) \end{aligned}$$

and since $\psi(\tau - 1)' = \psi(\tau - 1)$ and $F(F(\psi(\tau)\beta)) = \psi(\tau - 2)F(F(\beta))$ we get that

$$G(F(\psi(\tau)\beta)) = F(G(\psi(\tau)\beta)) + 2F(F(\psi\beta)).$$

Since elements of type $\psi(\tau)\beta$ span $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{Ug})$, we are done with the formula. We also get that F is an epimorphism of $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{Ug})$, and as $F(\alpha)$ has degree 1 less than α in Δ , thus $F(\alpha) = 0$ implies that $\alpha \in k[\tau]$. □

Now, our goal is to show that there exist $\alpha \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{U}\mathfrak{g})$ such that $[d, x] = [\alpha, x]$. Then $d - \alpha$ will be a central element since after applying the anti-involution η to $[d - \alpha, x] = 0$ gives $[d - \alpha, y_1] = 0$. This together with the fact that d commutes with $\mathfrak{g}$ implies that $d - \alpha \in \mathfrak{Z}(H_c)$. At first, we will get a condition on c which is a necessary condition for H_c to satisfy a PBW property. We have

$$[y_1x - x_1y, x] = [y_1, x]x - [x_1, x]y = [c, x].$$

So

$$[y_1, x] = 2hF(c) + G(c), [x_1, x] = -4eF(c), [y_1, y] = 4fF(c).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} [[y_1, x], y] &= [2hF(c) + G(c), y] = \\ &= (-2hF(G(c)) + G(G(c)))y + 4fF(G(c))x - 2F(c)y + \\ &= (2h + 2)(-2F(F(c))h + G(F(c)))y + 4fF(F(c))x, \end{aligned}$$

on the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} [y_1, x], y] &= [[y_1, y], x] = [4fF(c), x] = 4(F(c)y + [F(c), x]f) = \\ &= 4(F(c)y + f[F(c), x] - [F(c)y]) = 4(f(2hF(F(c))x + G(F(c))x) + \\ &= 4eF(F(c))y) - (-2hF(F(c)) + G(F(c)))y + 4fF(F(c))x + F(c)y. \end{aligned}$$

Equating coefficients of y we get

$$\begin{aligned} -2hF(G(c)) + G(G(c)) - 2F(c) + (2h + 2)(-2h)F(F(c)) + \\ (2h + 2)G(F(c)) = 4(4feF(F(c)) + 2hF(F(c)) - G(F(c)) + F(c)). \end{aligned}$$

Grouping them together yields

$$\begin{aligned} (16fe + 4h^2 + 8h + 4h)F(F(c)) + 2F(c) - 2G(F(c)) - 4G(F(c)) \\ - G(G(c)) + 2hF(G(c)) - 2hG(F(c)) + 4F(c) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$4\Delta F(F(c)) + 6F(c) - 6G(F(c)) - G(G(c)) = 0$$

We will refer to this equality as the Jacobi condition.

Now we want to compute $[d, x]$. Recall that

$$d = \tau(y_1x - x_1y) - (2ey_1y + h(x_1y + y_1x) - 2fx_1x).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} [d, x] &= x(y_1x - x_1y) + \tau[c, x] - \\ &= (2e[y_1, x]y + x(x_1y + y_1x) + h([x_1, x]y + [y_1, x]x) - 2yx_1x - 2f[x_1, x]x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} [d, x] &= xy_1x - xx_1y - xx_1y - xy_1x + 2yx_1x + \tau[c, x] \\ &\quad - 2e[y_1, x]y - h([x_1, x]y + [y_1, x]x) + 2f[x_1, x]x \\ &= 2(yx_1x - xx_1y) + \tau[c, x] - 2e[y_1, x]y \\ &\quad - h([x_1, x]y + [y_1, x]x) + 2f[x_1, x]x. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that

$$[\frac{1}{2}\Delta, x] = (h - \frac{3}{2})x + 2ey, [\frac{1}{2}\Delta, y] = (-h - \frac{3}{2})y + 2fx.$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} [\frac{1}{2}\Delta, [c, x]] &= [\frac{1}{2}\Delta, [y_1, x]x - [x_1, x]y] \\ &= [y_1, x]((h - \frac{3}{2})x + 2ey) - [x_1, x]((-h - \frac{3}{2})y + 2fx) \\ &= [y_1, x]hx + [y_1, x]2ey + [x_1, x]hy + \frac{3}{2}[x_1, x]y - 2[x_1, x]fx - \frac{3}{2}[y_1, x]x \\ &= -\frac{3}{2}[c, x] + h[y_1, x]x + 2e[y_1, x]y + \\ &\quad h[x_1, x]y - 2f[x_1, x]x - 2[x_1, x]y - 2[x_1, x]y + 2[y_1, x]x + 2[x_1, y]x. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} [t, x] + [\frac{1}{2}\Delta, [c, x]] &= \tau[c, x] - \frac{3}{2}[c, x] + 2(yx_1x - xx_1y) \\ &\quad - 4[x_1, x]y + 2[y_1, x]x + 2[x_1, y]x, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} &yx_1x - xx_1y - 2[x_1, x]y + [y_1, x]x + [x_1, y]x \\ &= yx_1x - xx_1y - 2x_1xy + 2xx_1y + x_1yx - yx_1x + [y_1, x]x \\ &= [y_1, x]x - [x_1, x]y = [c, x]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$[d, x] + [\frac{1}{2}\Delta, [c, x]] = \tau[c, x] + [\frac{1}{2}c, x] = [\tau c, x] - cx + [\frac{3}{2}c, x].$$

Hence

$$[d, x] = [\tau + \frac{3}{2}c, x] - (cx + \frac{1}{2}[\Delta, [c, x]]).$$

We have

$$2c + [\Delta, [c, x]] = \Delta[c, x] + c[\Delta, x] + 2cx - [\Delta c, x].$$

Thus, it would suffice to show that there exists $\alpha \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{Ug})$ such that

$$2cx + \Delta[c, x] + c[\Delta, x] = [\alpha, x]$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} &2cx + c[\Delta, x] + \Delta[c, x] = \\ &2cx + c(2h - 3)x + 4ecy + (2h\Delta + \Delta G(c))x + 4e\Delta F(c)y = \\ &(2h(c + \Delta F(c)) + \Delta G(c) - c)x + 4e(c + \Delta F(c))y. \end{aligned}$$

Now let us choose $\alpha \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{Ug})$ such that $F(\alpha) = c + \Delta F(c)$. If we could show that $G(\alpha) - \Delta G(c) + c \in k[\tau]$ then we would be done. But recall that $G(F(\alpha)) = F(G(\alpha)) + 2F(F(\alpha))$, thus our goal is equivalent to showing that

$$G(F(\alpha)) - 2F(F(\alpha)) = F(\Delta G(c)) - F(c).$$

Now, recall that

$$\begin{aligned} F(\Delta\beta) &= \beta + (\Delta - 1)F(\beta) - G(\beta) \\ G(\Delta\beta) &= -3\beta - 4F(\beta)\Delta + (\Delta + 3)G(\beta). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} F(\Delta G(c)) &= G(c) + (\Delta - 1)F(G(c)) - G(G(c)) \\ F(\Delta F(c)) &= F(c) + (\Delta - 1)F(F(c)) - G(F(c)) \\ G(\Delta F(c)) &= -3F(c) - 4F(F(c))\Delta + (\Delta + 3)G(F(c)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the desired equality that we need to prove becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &G(c) - 7F(c) - 4\Delta F(F(c)) + (\Delta + 3)G(F(c)) \\ &\quad - 2(\Delta - 1)F(F(c)) + 2G(F(c)) \\ &= G(c) + (\Delta - 1)F(G(c)) - G(G(c)) - F(c). \end{aligned}$$

Putting all terms on one side we get

$$\begin{aligned} &6F(c) + 4\Delta F(F(c)) - 2G(F(c)) - G(G(c)) + \\ &(\Delta - 1)(2F(F(c)) + F(G(c))) - (\Delta + 3)G(F(c)) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is nothing but the Jacobi condition. To summarize, we have shown that there exists $\alpha \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{Ug})$ such that $F(\alpha) = c + \Delta F(c)$ and $d - (\tau + \frac{3}{2}c) - \frac{1}{2}\alpha$ is a central element.

Now we will discuss a little bit of representation theory of H_c . As usual, one does this withing the appropriately defined analog of the BGG category $\mathcal{O}$ for semi-simple Lie algebras.

Definition 2.1. The category $\mathcal{O}$ for an algebra H_c is define as a full subcategory of the category of finitely generated left H_c -modules on which h, τ act diagonalizably and e, x, y act locally nilpotently.

Thus real parts of eigenvalues of τ are bounded from above. As usual, if M belongs to the category $\mathcal{O}$ then element $v \in M$ is called a maximal vector if and only if $ev = xv = yv = 0$. We also have a standard definition of the Verma module for any pair of weights λ, μ (of h, τ respectively): $M(\lambda, \mu) = H_c \otimes_B k_{\lambda, \mu}$, where B is a subalgebra of H_c generated by e, x, y, h, τ , and $k_{\lambda, \mu} = kv$ is its one dimensional representation on which h, τ act like multiplication by λ, μ . Clearly $M(\lambda, \mu) = \mathfrak{U}(kf \ltimes (k^2)^*)v$. Standard argument shows that $M(\lambda, \mu)$ has a unique simple quotient, which will be denoted by $L(\lambda, \mu)$.

We have a spectral decomposition of the category $\mathcal{O}$: for any character $\chi \in \text{Spec}(\mathfrak{Z}(H_c))$ we define $\mathcal{O}^\chi$ to be a full subcategory of modules on which $\ker(\chi)$ acts nilpotently, then the category $\mathcal{O}$ decomposes into a direct sum of blocks $\mathcal{O}^\chi$.

Next we would like to determine conditions of λ, μ which gives finite dimensional $L(\lambda, \mu)$. So let us assume that $L(\lambda, \mu)$ is finite dimensional. Let us denote by L^n weight subspace for τ corresponding to the weight $n \in k$. Clearly there are only finitely many n such that $L^n \neq 0$, and μ is the biggest of them all (it is also clear that any two n differ by an integer and their are

no gaps between them) and L^n is a $\mathfrak{g}$ -module. Then clearly there exists $m > 0$ such that $f^m v L^\mu = x_1^m L^\mu = y_1^m L^\mu = 0$. It is clear that L^μ is an irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$ module. Let us write $[y^m, x_1^m] = \alpha_m \bmod(H_c V)$ for some well defined $\alpha_m \in \mathfrak{U}\mathfrak{g}$ (which only depends on, c and of course n). Thus we have that $\alpha_m L^\mu = 0$. Now we claim that all these conditions are sufficient for finite dimensionality.

Indeed, at first we claim that $x^i y^{m-i} x_1 L^\mu = 0$ for all i . Indeed, proceeding by induction on i

$$e y^i x^{m-i} x_1 v - y^i x^{m-i} x_1 e v = i y^{i-1} x^{m-i+1} x_1^m v = 0$$

now this implies that $H_c x_1^m L^\mu \cap L^\mu = 0$ thus $x_1^m L^\mu = 0$. This implies that $y_1^i x_1^{m-i} L^\mu = 0$, indeed arguing by induction on i ,

we have $(f x^i y_1^{m-i} - x_1^i y_1^{m-i} f) L^\mu = 0$ thus $i x_1^{i-1} y_1^{m-i+1} L^\mu = 0$ hence we are done. So we have the following

Proposition 2.2. *Irreducible module $L(\lambda, \mu)$ is finite dimensional if and only if λ is a nonnegative integer and there exists m such that $\alpha_m V(\lambda, \mu) = 0$ where $V(\lambda, \mu)$ is an irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ of highest weight λ, μ .*

Let us briefly discuss the case when the ground field has positive characteristic. We have the following general result

Proposition 2.3. *Let H_γ be any infinitesimal Cherednik algebra over the ground field k of positive characteristic. Then H_γ is a prime Noetherian ring which is Auslander regular and Cohen-Macaulay and it is a finitely generated module over its center.*

Proof. The associated graded of H_γ is a Hopf algebra of finite global dimension which is finite dimensional over the center, after applying general results of [BG], we get that H_γ is a prime Noetherian ring, which is also Auslander regular and Cohen-Macaulay. Thus it remains to show that H_γ is a finitely generated module over the center.

By the definition of H_γ , algebras $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g} \ltimes k^n), \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g} \ltimes (k^n)^*)$ are its subalgebras, therefore there exists big enough n , such that all restricted powers $g^{[p^n]}$ are central for any $g \in \mathfrak{g}$. Now we claim that for any $v \in k^n$, v^{p^2} belongs to the center of H_γ . Indeed, it is clear that this element commutes with $\mathfrak{g}$, thus we just need to show that $[v^{p^2}, w^*] = 0$ for all $w^* \in (k^n)^*$. We have $[v^{p^2}, w^*] = \text{ad}(v^p)^p(w^*) = \text{ad}(v^p)^{p-1}([v^p, w^*])$, but since $[v^p, w^*] = \text{ad}(v)^p(w^*) \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g} \ltimes k^n)$, we get that $[v^{p^2}, w^*] = 0$. Therefore $(k^n)^{p^2} \in \mathfrak{Z}(H_c)$, similarly $((k^n)^*)^{p^2} \in \mathfrak{Z}(H_c)$, therefore we may conclude that H_γ is finite dimensional over its center. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [BG] K. Brown and I. Goodearl, *Homological aspects of Noetherian PI Hopf algebras and irreducible modules of maximal dimension*, Journal of Algebra 198 240-265 (1997)

- [EG] P. Etingof and V. Ginzburg, *Symplectic reflection algebras and Calogero-Moser spaces and deformed Harish-Chandra homomorphism*, *Inventiones Math.* **147** (2002), no. 2, 243–348.
- [EGG] P. Etingof, W.L. Gan, and V. Ginzburg, *Continuous Hecke algebras*, *Transform. Groups* **10** (2005), no. 3-4, 423–447.
5379–407.
- [K] A. Khare, *Category $\mathcal{O}$ over a deformation of the symplectic oscillator algebra*, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, Volume **195**, no. 2 (2005), 131–166.
- [KT] A. Khare, A. Tikaradze *Center and representations of infinitesimal Hecke algebras of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$* , e-print (2008)(to appear in *Comm. in Algebra*)
- [P] D. Panyushev, *On the coadjoint representation of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -contractions of reductive Lie algebras*. *Adv. Math.* **213** (2007), no. 1, 380–404.
- [R1] M. Rais, *La représentation coadjointe du groupe affine*. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **28** (1978), no. 1, xi, 207–237
- [R2] M. Rais, *L'indice des produits semi-directs $E \times_{\rho} \mathfrak{g}$* . *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sr. A-B* **287** (1978), no. 4, A195–A197.
- [S] S. Saad, *Représentation coadjointe et idéaux primitifs pour une classe d'algèbres de Lie*, *These, Universit de Poitiers*, (1978)
- [T1] A. Tikaradze, *Center of infinitesimal Hecke algebra of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$* , e-print (2006).
- [T2] A. Tikaradze, *Infinitesimal Hecke algebras of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ in positive characteristic*, e-print (2007) (to appear in *Journal of Algebra*).

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 TOLEDO, OHIO, USA
 E-MAIL: tikar@math.uchicago.edu